

March 1, 2007

MEMORANDUM

TO: Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board

FROM: Ken Bierly, Deputy Director
Wendy Hudson, Willamette Basin Regional Program Representative

**SUBJECT: Agenda Item F: OWEB Grant Award Recommendations
Region 3, Willamette Basin
March 14-15, 2007 OWEB Board Meeting**

I. Introduction

This staff report describes the Willamette Basin Regional Review Team recommendations, special issues, land acquisition grant applications, and staff recommendations for funding.

II. Background

The table attached to the Overview report contains the numbers and types of applications received and dollar amounts requested. The Willamette Basin Regional Review Team (RRT) met at the Roth's Hospitality Center in Salem on January 30, 2007, to review the applications received in this grant cycle. All applications were reviewed for technical merit and given a "do fund" or "no fund" recommendation by the RRT. The RRT then prioritized the applications recommended for funding.

III. Regional Review Team Recommendations

The Willamette Basin RRT recommended 12 Restoration applications for funding totaling \$2,400,481. The RRT also recommended six Technical Assistance applications totaling \$215,583, seven Education/Outreach applications totaling \$351,144, and two Assessment applications totaling \$49,328. Special conditions were recommended for one Technical Assistance application, and one was recommended at a reduced amount. For Restoration, reductions are recommended for two, and the budget for one has been adjusted based on revisions following further discussions.

The RRT found that both the Newton Creek Wetlands and McKenzie Oxbow Conservation acquisition proposals have high ecological and educational merit. Additional discussion of these applications is included in Section V.

IV. Special Issues

There are two Restoration applications from the Johnson Creek Watershed Council that seek significant funding. The RRT recommended both -- East Powell Butte Fish Habitat/Floodplain Restoration (207-317) and Crystal Springs Culvert Replacement and Habitat Project (207-316). Staff recommend only the East Powell Butte application, which the RRT ranked 8 out of 12 (Crystal Springs ranked 12 of 12 and fell below the line for available funds). East Powell Butte

is one of the highest priorities in the Johnson Creek Restoration Plan, and will restore 20 acres of historic habitat, reconnect five acres of off-channel rearing habitat and refugia for native fish, and will provide 100 acre-feet of additional flood storage capacity. The proposed project is adjacent to the 51-acre Kelly Creek wetlands restoration site, completed in 2006. The total cost for the East Powell Butte project is \$5,953,815.

V. Acquisitions

A. Newton Creek Wetlands (207-301)

The Mary's Peak Natural Resources Interpretive Center (MPNRIC) originally requested \$1,500,000 (total project cost of \$2,531,000) to purchase fee title on 124 acres of wetland and upland along Newton Creek, in Philomath. The project was recently revised to eliminate some of the partially developed lots on the parcel with a revised request of \$750,000.00. At the time of writing this staff report, the Board Subcommittee has not had an opportunity to review the revised proposal.

1. Ecological Benefits

The application lists autumnal freshwater mudflats, depressional wetland broadleaf forest, depressional wetland shrublands, freshwater emergent marsh, oak woodland, riparian forests and shrublands, vernal pools, and western Oregon wet prairie as priority ecological systems that will be protected or restored on the site. Approximately 60 acres of these priority ecological systems currently exist on the site with a potential to restore more acres. The RRT confirmed the existence and importance of preserving these priority ecological systems.

Tufted hairgrass-California oatgrass exists on the site: The site has small remnants of rare or at-risk plant communities and has a high potential for enhancement. There is potential to restore common *Downingia* vernal pools, coyote thistle-low gumweed vernal pools, creeping spikerush-one sided sedge marsh, dense sedge-tufted hairgrass prairie, pacific willow/stinging nettle, and white oak/poison oak/blue wild rye plant communities.

The following priority species are known to exist on the site or on adjacent properties: Cutthroat trout, Oregon chub, Pacific lamprey, Acorn woodpecker, American Bittern, Hooded merganser, Oregon vesper sparrow, red-legged frog, Western pond turtle, and Western gray squirrel. The RRT confirmed these species and emphasized the importance of this site for Western pond turtle and Cutthroat trout.

The RRT thought the project met the majority of the conservation principles, particularly, "protect a large intact area" and "stabilize an area 'on the brink' of ecological collapse."

The parcel was used as a veneer mill that closed 20 years ago and was heavily disturbed through the creation of log ponds and associated berms. This has resulted in the creation of diverse hydrological regimes and a high degree of habitat complexity that would not otherwise exist. The site is bordered on the northwest by Lupine Meadows, another OWEB-funded acquisition, and on the northeast by the Boy Scout Lodge.

The Board Subcommittee has asked about the potential of the property to be affected by future development of adjacent lands that are zoned Industrial Park land. This information is pending.

2. Capacity to Sustain the Ecological Benefits

MPNRIC is an educational non-profit organization and will hold title to the property. MPNRIC was formed in 2004 and operates with an 8-member board. A technical management team will advise MPNRIC on the development and implementation of the management plan. MRNRIC plans to fund a director through development and capacity building grants and program revenue. MPNRIC intends to establish a stewardship endowment of \$500,000 through private grants and donations. The Board Subcommittee has asked additional questions of the applicant related to the capacity of the organization to manage the property for the long term. Information on this issue is pending.

3. Educational Benefits

For the past three years, the MPNRIC has conducted extensive educational programs on the site, including “Science, Music and Marshmallows”, teacher workshops, high school student research projects and public tours. The acquisition would support the MRNRIC’s plan to develop an interpretive center on the site and expand its educational offerings. The RRT confirmed the extensive educational activities currently being conducted on the site and anticipate a continued educational benefit from the acquisition. Education is an important part of this project.

4. Partners, Project Support and Community Effects

The following organizations have agreed to participate in the technical management team: Mary’s River Watershed Council (MRWC), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Institute for Applied Technology, Pacific Wildlife Research, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Benton Soil and Water Conservation District, Oregon State University, Greenbelt Land Trust, and Philomath School District. Benton County will provide GIS support and one of the Benton County Commissioners is on the MRNRIC board. The City of Philomath will assist with permitting. The MRWC will participate in future educational programs.

The MRNRIC is planning to finance the balance of the project through grants and community donations and has raised \$2,500 so far. There are no other established funding partners.

The property is located in an Industrial Park zone. In 2002, the property was annexed into the city of Philomath and utilities were extended to the site in preparation of a small industrial park. Because of the cost of mitigating for the wetlands on the site, the landowner abandoned the project and entered into an option agreement with the MPNRIC. The Board Subcommittee wondered what effect this project may have on the City’s industrial land base. In a letter to the applicant dated December 12, 2006, the City of Philomath confirmed its support for the preservation of the wetlands portion of the parcel but stated its need for the remainder of the parcel to be retained for industrial development. In response, the applicant recently submitted a revised proposal to limit the acquisition project to the wetland areas and small portion of upland for the interpretive center. The remainder of the partially developed lots would be used to construct a resource related “green campus” of light industry. At the time of this staff report, the Board Subcommittee had not had an opportunity to evaluate this revised proposal.

Taxes for 2005-2006 totaled \$10,055. The MRNRIC will apply for tax exempt status. The City is aware that it may need to accept the deferral of property taxes on portions of the subject property. Given the potential economic benefits of this site as a regional attraction, the city continues to support the project and has included the interpretive center in Philomath's Strategic Plan. A letter of support was received from the Benton County Board of Commissioners.

5. Legal and Financial Terms

OWEB funds were originally requested for 75 percent of the purchase price of the property. The revised request is for \$750,000 and it is not clear what percent of the purchase price this represents because the appraisal has not been conducted.

At the time of this staff report, due diligence materials have not been requested. A Level I environmental site assessment has been conducted for the site, but it has not undergone a third party review.

6. Conclusion

The Willamette Basin RRT concluded that the project has high ecological and educational benefit and meets five of OWEB's conservation principles. The Board Subcommittee and staff concur with this assessment. The Board Subcommittee has raised questions related to the capacity of MPNRIC to own and manage the site, the lack of other funding partners and the potential for incompatible uses adjacent to the site. The Board Subcommittee has not requested due diligence materials pending responses to these questions. Staff and the Board Subcommittee recommend the Board defer consideration of this request pending additional information.

B. McKenzie Oxbow (207-302)

McKenzie River Trust (MRT) is requesting \$127,000 (\$171,250 total project cost) to purchase fee title to 21 acres of a 50 acre parcel located near Walterville on the McKenzie River. The applicant proposes to purchase a conservation easement on the remaining 27.5 acres of the parcel. The southern portion of the parcel proposed for fee title purchase contains a river oxbow and island. The northern portion proposed for conservation easement is a forested riparian area.

1. Ecological Benefits

The property is located east of Springfield and contains the north and south bank of an old oxbow of the McKenzie River. The application lists coniferous forested wetlands (small inclusions), freshwater aquatic beds, freshwater emergent marsh, and riparian forests and shrublands as priority ecological systems on the property. Almost 2 miles of riparian edge are located on the parcel. There are not any rare or at-risk plant communities listed in the application for the site. The application indicates that the parcel provides habitat for Chinook Salmon, Oregon Chub, Pacific lamprey, Bull Trout and Steelhead. Western pond turtle and red-legged frog are present.

The Willamette Regional Review Team (RRT) concluded that the property is host to a diverse list of species and the project provides an excellent opportunity to preserve a floodplain area that provides significant fish habitat. The site has excellent potential for chub, bull trout and turtle habitat. This oxbow of the McKenzie has retained significant floodplain function. The RRT concluded that the project has high ecological benefit.

The RRT thought the project could meet three of OWEB's conservation principles: allow restoration that would not occur without a change in ownership, protect a site with exceptional biodiversity and complement an existing network of sites in the basin.

2. Capacity to Sustain the Ecological Benefits

MRT will hold title to the southern portion of the parcel and a conservation easement only on the northern portion. MRT is a local non-profit land trust established in the 1980's that consists of a volunteer board from the local community. The organization has five full-time employees and manages 2,000 acres of similar habitat, many of which are in the McKenzie watershed.

MRT has enlisted the McKenzie Watershed Council, Eugene Water and Electric Board (EWEB) and Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) for their participation in the development of a management plan for the site. MRT has adopted the Standards and Practices of the Land Trust Alliance for lands conservation and stewardship and will employ those standards in Monitoring and long-term management of the site.

3. Educational Benefits

MRT will make the site available for tours and research. Public access will be provided to large groups. The RRT concluded that the site could provide many opportunities for watershed education, and the MRT has an excellent track record for providing these opportunities. The RRT thought MRT should address public access in their management plan and could do more to involve the public.

4. Partners, Project Support & Community Effects

Partners that will assist in the development of the management plan include the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, (USFWS), McKenzie Watershed Council and ODFW. Letters of support for the acquisition project were received from EWEB, the McKenzie Watershed Council, ODFW and the Eugene District Office of the Bureau of Land Management.

The land is currently zoned exclusive farm use. Small portions of the property have been used for timber harvest and livestock grazing in the past. The applicant will continue to pay property taxes on the property and there will be no effect of the acquisition on the local property tax base.

5. Legal and Financial Terms

OWEB funds are requested for 75 percent of the \$171,250 cost of the project. The balance of the funds will be provided by EWEB, through the McKenzie Watershed Council, and the landowner.

Legal review of the option agreement, title report and exceptions to the title did not identify any issues or concerns.

OWEB will hold an easement on the southern portion of the parcel proposed for fee title purchase. MRT will hold an easement, with OWEB third party right of enforcement, for the northern section proposed for only a conservation easement. Staff and the applicants are working on the final language of both easements to protect OWEB's proposed investment in the property. Language in the conservation easements requires the

development of a management plan to address issues such as public access and future restoration.

An appraisal of the property was completed on September 20, 2006, by Duncan and Brown of Eugene, Oregon. The appraisal concluded a fair-market value of \$42,000 for the fee title of the southern portion of the parcel and \$100,000 for the conservation easement on the northern portion of the parcel. OWEB's independent review appraiser has concluded that the report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice standard and the market value is supported. The appraised value was based on an estimated boundary of the 5.3 acres portion excluded from the project area. The review appraiser recommends that that value be adjusted after a surveyed boundary of this area is completed, if needed.

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of the property was completed on December 13, 2006 by Omnicon. Review by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) indicated that the report meets the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) practice. DEQ agrees with the conclusion that the ESA has not revealed evidence of recognized environmental conditions as identified by the ASTM.

6. Conclusion

This acquisition project clearly meets the evaluation criteria for high ecological and educational value confirmed by the RRT. The due diligence materials submitted for the project have been reviewed and approved by staff and legal counsel.

The Board Subcommittee and the RRT have expressed unanimous support for the project. Staff and the Board Subcommittee recommend that the Board award \$127,000 in funds toward the McKenzie Oxbow acquisition project.

C. Deferred Acquisition - Sandy River (207-072)

Western Rivers Conservancy (WRC) submitted an application on April 24, 2006, requesting \$727,500 (\$970,000 total project cost) to purchase fee title on 30 acres along the Sandy River near ZigZag. The application states that WRC hopes to transfer ownership of the property to the City of Portland Water Bureau (Water Bureau) in the future. In the interim, WRC will hold title and manage the property until a permanent fee title owner is determined.

1. Ecological Benefits

The application states that about 18 acres of the property include priority ecological systems, including riparian forest and shrublands, freshwater aquatic beds, and freshwater wetlands. The parcel contains nearly ½ mile of Sandy River frontage. A black cottonwood-red alder/salmonberry plant community has been observed on the site. This reach of the Sandy River is a low-gradient, unconfined channel and had been designated as primary anchor habitat for winter steelhead and spring Chinook by the Sandy River Basin Partners. Priority species that are expected to benefit from protection and restoration of this site include coastal cutthroat trout, Coho salmon, steelhead trout, olive-sided flycatcher, willow flycatcher, Cope's giant salamander, red-legged frog, and Townsend's big-eared bat. The application states that four of OWEB's seven conservation principles are addressed by the project. These include protecting a large intact area, securing a transition area protecting it from development, improving connectivity of habitat and complementing an existing network of sites in the basin.

The RRT concluded that the property has unique attributes for a rural residential environment and provides valuable and rare habitat for winter steelhead and spring Chinook. The low gradient nature of this reach of the Sandy River provides off channel habitat and floodplain connection that will benefit a variety of species. They confirmed that the habitats on the site could support the extensive list of species cited in the application. The RRT thought the project meets three of the four conservation principles listed in the application, including securing a transition area protecting it from development, improving connectivity of habitat, and complementing an existing network of sites in the basin.

The Board Subcommittee asked the RRT to address how future recreational use of the property may affect the ecological values of the parcel. The RRT noted that there is currently little evidence of recreational use, except for foot trails on the parcel. They recommend that the management plan include provisions to address future public access and a plan to monitor public use.

2. Capacity to Sustain the Ecological Benefits

The application states that WRC hopes to transfer the fee title ownership of the property to the Water Bureau. The Water Bureau has expressed a strong interest in accepting the management responsibilities for the property, however, at the time of this staff report, future fee title ownership has not been confirmed.

WRC will own and manage the property in the interim for an indefinite period of time pending resolution of the future ownership. OWEB will have the right to approve the entity WRC identifies to take title for the long term. WRC will work with OWEB and other partners in the Sandy River basin to identify the best long-term conservation steward for the property.

WRC owns and manages lands as an interim owner on a regular basis. WRC has limited ability on-staff to implement a land management plan, but WRC has extensive relationships with proven land managers. The Nature Conservancy (TNC) has agreed to assist WRC in the management of the site, but the details of the arrangement are not known at this time.

Because of the natural conditions of the site, the cost to manage and monitor the site is estimated to be less than five thousand dollars annually. During the interim period that WRC owns the property, WRC will directly cover management expenses.

3. Educational Benefits

The property will not be closed to the public, but there will be no signs or other methods to encourage public use. The Sandy River Basin Watershed Council (SRBWC) may use the site for demonstration purposes. The RRT evaluated the educational benefits of the project. They concluded that the site could serve as an excellent example of a variety of habitats and features that benefit fish and wildlife. Association with the SRBWC will provide many opportunities for watershed education.

4. Partners, Project Support & Community Effects

Partners for the project include the SRBWC, the neighborhood association, the Sandy River Basin Partners and potentially the Water Bureau should they decide to take ownership and/or participate in the long term management of the site. The Sandy River Basin Partners includes representatives from Portland General Electric (PGE), NOAA Fisheries, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), U.S. Forest Service (USFS), Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), METRO, Multnomah County, Clackamas County, Northwest Steelheaders, Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM).

Letters of support were received from USFS, Oregon Trout, Mt. Hood National Forest, Water Environment Services, BLM, ODFW, Oregon Natural Resources Council, and the SRBWC.

The property is zoned RR (recreational resort). Taxes for 2005-2006 totaled \$1,541.36. WRC plans to exercise their tax exempt status and not pay taxes on the property.

5. Legal and Financial Terms

OWEB funds were requested for 75% of the purchase price of the property. The original application lists the Water Bureau and WRC as providing the 25% match. At the time of writing this staff report, WRC has confirmed that it will provide the 25% match.

The Land Acquisition Board Subcommittee and OWEB's legal counsel questioned whether this project was part of the habitat conservation plan for the Water Bureau's incidental take permit, which is currently being developed. WRC confirmed that this project will not be used as a conservation measure in the habitat conservation plan and therefore will not be used to mitigate for any adverse effects of the Water Bureau's future operations.

The legal review of the title report and exceptions and the option agreement did not identify concerns or issues. The option to purchase the property expires on May 15, 2007. It is important to note that WRC is seeking OWEB funding prior to securing a long-term fee title holder because of the development threats facing the property. The landowner has received a generous purchase offer from a developer of adjacent property. If WRC does not make good on our purchase agreement by May 2007, then the property will be developed as part of a large residential subdivision

OWEB will be the holder of a conservation easement to protect OWEB's investment in the property. The applicant has proposed OWEB's standard language for the easement, which prohibits future partition, construction or commercial activities in perpetuity. The applicant will develop a management plan to address restoration activities and public access.

An appraisal of the property was completed on November 25, 2005. The appraisal concluded a fair-market value of \$900,000. OWEB's independent review appraiser has concluded that the report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) and the market value is supported.

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of the property was completed on May 10, 2006. Review by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) indicated that the report meets the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) practice. DEQ agrees with the conclusion that the ESA has not revealed evidence of recognized environmental conditions as identified by the ASTM Practice.

6. Conclusion

The RRT concluded that the project has high ecological and educational benefit and meets three of OWEB's conservation principles. The Board Subcommittee and staff concur with this assessment.

WRC does not intend to be the long term fee title holder of the property and a future owner has not yet been determined. WRC will own the site for an indefinite period and they have made arrangements with TNC to assist them with temporary stewardship of the site. The option agreement expires in May and the property is at risk of development. The Board subcommittee and staff believe there is some risk associated with funding the project before the ownership issue is resolved. By providing partial funding now, with a commitment to provide the remainder when a permanent owner is identified, the risk is more equitably shared. The Board subcommittee and staff recommend the Board award half of the amount requested by WRC (\$364,000) and the remainder upon Board approval of a long term owner of the property.

VI. Staff Recommendations for Project Funding

Staff recommend funding for four of the six RRT recommended Technical Assistance applications, four of the Education/Outreach applications, and both Assessment applications. It should be noted that all four of the recommended Education/Outreach applications are reduced in amount to help limited funds go further. The three Education/Outreach applications not recommended by staff each have merit, but the limitation of non-capital funds makes it impossible to meet these needs. Staff also recommend funding for 11 of the 12 Restoration applications, and for two Acquisition applications.

Attachment A shows the applications, funding amounts, conditions (if any), and priority rankings recommended for funding to OWEB staff by the RRT. The table also indicates, by means of shaded entries, the OWEB staff recommendations to the Board. For some projects, the amount shown in the table is the staff funding recommendation rather than the amount applied for.

Attachment B shows those applications not recommended for funding at this time by the RRT or by OWEB staff.

Staff recommend the Board approve the staff funding recommendation as contained in Attachment A to this report.

Attachments

- A. Projects Recommended for Funding
- B. Projects Not Recommended for Funding

Region 3 – Willamette Basin
Technical Assistance Projects Recommended for Funding by the RRT
October 16, 2006 Grant Cycle

Staff Funding Recommendations to the Board are Highlighted in Gray

Project #	Project Name	Total Amount	Priority
207-169	Newton Creek Wetlands - Technical Assistance	24,252	1
207-162	Gooseneck Creek Confluence Restoration Design *	17,658	2
207-168	Project Planning and Management Plan Development for Cardwell Hills Landowners	50,000	3
207-167	Willow Creek Confluence Restoration Scoping and Budgeting	37,708	4
207-171	Upper Willamette Landowner Recruitment and Floodplain Restoration Design	35,965	4
207-165	North Santiam Spawning Gravel Enhancement Design Study **	50,000	6
Total Technical Assistance Projects Recommended for Funding to Staff by the RRT		\$215,583	
Total Technical Assistance Projects Recommended for Funding by Staff to the Board		\$129,618	

*Listed Amount Reflects Recommended Reduction ** Fund with Conditions

Region 3 – Willamette Basin
Education Projects Recommended for Funding by the RRT
October 16, 2006 Grant Cycle

Staff Funding Recommendations to the Board are Highlighted in Gray

Project #	Project Name	Amount Requested	Amount Recommended	Priority
207-209	Oregon Envirothon *	29,333	24,000	1
207-208	Clackamas River Basin Council Outreach and Education *	117,869	55,000	2
207-205	Slough School Education Program *	45,012	38,000	3
207-204	Marys River Watershed Council Outreach & Education *	60,515	40,000	4
207-206	Watershed Wide - Youth Engaged	30,336		5
207-203	Spawning Education	24,409		6
207-207	Calapooia and Santiam Joint Education Project - Phase II	43,670		7
Total Education Projects Recommended for Funding to Staff by the RRT		\$351,144		
Total Education Projects Recommended for Funding by Staff to the Board		\$252,729	\$157,000	

*Listed Amount Reflects Recommended Reduction

Region 3 – Willamette Basin
Assessment Projects Recommended for Funding by the RRT
October 16, 2006 Grant Cycle

Staff Funding Recommendations to the Board are Highlighted in Gray

Project #	Project Name	Total Amount	Priority
207-253	Long Tom Watershed Fish Barrier Assessment	31,830	1
207-252	Pudding River Watershed Action Plan	17,498	2
Total Assessment Projects Recommended for Funding to Staff by the RRT		\$49,328	
Total Assessment Projects Recommended for Funding by Staff to the Board		\$49,328	

Region 3 – Willamette Basin
Acquisition Projects Receiving a Positive Rating for Ecological Merit by the RRT

Project #	Project Name	Amount
207-301	Newton Creek Wetlands	1,500,000
207-302	McKenzie Oxbow Acquisition	127,000
207-072	Sandy River Acquisition❖	364,000
Total Acquisition Projects Recommended for Funding by Staff to the Board		\$491,000

❖ Total amount is \$727,500. Staged award with \$364,000 recommended now and \$363,500 to be awarded upon Board approval of the long term property owner.

Region 3 – Willamette Basin
Restoration Projects Recommended for Funding by the RRT
October 16, 2006 Grant Cycle

Staff Funding Recommendations to the Board are Highlighted in Gray

Project #	Project Name	Non-Capital Funds	Capital Funds	Total Amount	Priority
207-312	M-DAC Farms Wetland Restoration		219,274	219,274	1
207-311	3-Pond Restoration - multi - Species Habitat Improvement		46,991	46,991	2
207-308	Upper Clear Creek Habitat Improvement Project	325	109,166	109,491	3
207-306	West Fork Dairy Creek Restoration □		82,695	82,695	4
207-305	Long Tom Watershed 2006 Restoration Projects	11,217	206,613	217,830	5
207-315	Sandy River Riparian Habitat Protection		94,411	94,411	6
207-309	Powell Creek Fish Passage Restoration Project	1,875	99,630	101,505	7
207-317	Johnson Creek Fish Habitat and Floodplain Restoration Project at East Powell Butte *	4,250	596,200	600,450	8
207-314	Lost Creek Confluence Riparian Restoration Project Phase 3		117,949	117,949	9
207-307	Hogan Ranch Restoration Project	1,000	103,290	104,290	10
207-304	Mohawk River Watershed Enhancement Project		105,930	105,930	11
207-316	Crystal Springs Culvert Replacement and Habitat Restoration Project - Phase 1 *			599,665	12
Total Restoration Projects Recommended for Funding to Staff by the RRT		\$18,667	\$1,782,149	\$2,400,481	
Total Restoration Projects Recommended for Funding by Staff to the Board		\$18,667	\$1,782,149	\$1,800,816	

*Listed Amount Reflects Recommended Reduction □ Listed Amount Reflects Recommended Increase

Region 3 – Willamette Basin
Projects Not Recommended for Funding by the RRT and OWEB Staff
October 16, 2006 Grant Cycle

Project #	Project Type	Project Name	Amount Requested
207-163	Technical Assistance	Upper Poodle Creek Fish Passage Analysis and Design	15,950
207-164	Technical Assistance	Klein Point Fish Habitat Improvement Design	49,575
207-166	Technical Assistance	Santiam Basin Fish Passage Improvement Project	50,000
207-170	Technical Assistance	Limiting Factors Analysis - Pedee Creek	29,167
207-202	Education	Writing Your Watershed	5,885
207-239	Monitoring	Willamette River Bacteria Monitoring	33,698
207-251	Assessment	The Molalla River Rehabilitation Action Plan	118,900
207-303	Restoration	Round Lake Wetland Restoration	85,000
207-310	Restoration	Lower McKenzie Islands Floodplain Restoration	274,890
207-313	Restoration	Holcumb Creek-Salmon River-Bear Creek Bridges	218,704